



# Project Title: Upgrading a climate model to improve regional climate projections

Acronym: UpClim

## Deliverable 2.4 Model source code modifications to include the indirect aerosol effect

Authors: Vasileios Pavlidis, Eleni Katragkou







## **Technical References**

Project Number	014696
Principal Investigator	KATRAGKOU ELENI
Sub-action	Sub-action 2. Funding Projects in Leading-Edge
	Sectors – RRFQ: Basic Research Financing
	Horizontal Support for all sciences
Acronym	UpClim
Proposal Title (EN)	Upgrading a climate model to improve regional
	climate projections
Proposal Title (EA)	Αναβάθμιση ενός κλιματικού μοντέλου για τη
	βελτίωση περιοχικών κλιματικών προβολών
Thematic Area	ThA1. Physical Sciences, Engineering Sciences and
	Technology, Environment and Energy
Thematic Field	1.13 Development of high resolution earth
	system models for global and regional climate
	change projection
Host Institution (HI)	ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI
Department	SCHOOL OF GEOLOGY
Beneficiary- Collaborating Organization	FOUNDATION FOR RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY-
	HELLAS (FORTH)
Starting Date	01/02/2024
Duration (in months)	23







## **Table of contents**

Τe	echnic	al References	2		
1	Int	roduction	6		
2	Cu	rrent status of aerosol-cloud interactions in WRF	6		
3	The	e reference aerosol dataset	7		
4	Cal	culation of cloud droplet number concentration	7		
	4.1	Methodology	7		
	4.2	Calculation of AOD			
	4.3	Selection of layers			
	4.4	Results of cloud droplet number concentration calculation	9		
	4.4	.1 Domain averaged results	10		
5	Su	nmary and conclusion	12		
6	Co	de example			
7	References15				





## List of tables and figures







## Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

The following acronyms have been used across this document:

ACRONYM	FULL TERM		
WRF	Weather Research and Forecasting Model		
AOD	Aerosol optical depth		
Nt_c	Cloud droplet number concentration		
MODIS	Moderate Resolution Imaging		
	Spectroradiometer		
MERRA	Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for		
	Research and Applications		
CORDEX	COordinated Regional climate Downscaling		
	EXperiment		





#### 1 Introduction

In this deliverable we describe the process of improving the aerosol indirect effect implementation in Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) climate model simulations. We present a methodology that enables the proper selection of the cloud droplet number concentration constant, used in a specific microphysics scheme in WRF, to better reflect real life aerosol conditions.

### 2 Current status of aerosol-cloud interactions in WRF

The WRF code, up to the newest version 4.5, enables the model to access any external aerosol dataset provided by the user (aer\_opt=2) and let these aerosols interact with the radiation scheme (Ruiz-Arias et al., 2014). Thus, the model can take into account aerosol-radiation interactions, a component that has been shown to be of considerable importance in climatic simulations due to the long-term trends present in aerosol loads around the globe (Boé et al., 2020).

Indirect aerosol effect (aerosol-cloud interactions) however, is either ignored or taken into account in a simplistic manner by most microphysics schemes in WRF. A very commonly used microphysics scheme is the classic Thompson scheme (mp\_physics=8 option) (Thompson et al., 2008). This scheme has been widely used by the WRF community and is currently being used in the new WRF CMIP6-CORDEX simulations that are under production by various institutes.

Indirect effect in the Thompson scheme is implemented crudely. The Thompson microphysics scheme just uses a predefined constant number concentration of cloud droplets (Nt\_c) for calculations of rain droplet concentration and also for calculation of cloud particle size. However, the number of cloud droplets heavily depends on the aerosol load present. Therefore, in the Thompson scheme this Nt\_c number is not dependent to the actual aerosol load of the domain of the simulation. Moreover, it is characteristic that the user is encouraged to modify this number to better reflect the aerosol conditions. The prescribed number of cloud droplets is set in the code as 100 x10<sup>6</sup> /m<sup>3</sup>. Regarding to guidelines within the code this number is better suited for maritime cases while for continental cases a number of 300 x10<sup>6</sup> /m<sup>3</sup> cloud droplets is recommended. The Nt\_c constant plays an important role in autoconversion, the process that describes the collision and coalescence between cloud droplets to form raindrops.

As mentioned before, in WRF there is the option of incorporating an external aerosol dataset to be taken into account by the radiation scheme. Thus, we can have a detailed and state of the art dataset to describe aerosol-radiation interactions. We have tried to extract a meaningful number of Nt\_c from such an aerosol dataset to be used in the Thompson microphysics scheme. In this way, the aerosol indirect effect is still rudimentary but better suited to the aerosol characteristics of the domain to be simulated, in our case Europe. Moreover, there is enhanced consistency between the aerosol "seen" by the radiation and microphysics schemes.





### 3 The reference aerosol dataset

We have used a dataset of monthly aerosol optical properties with global coverage that has recently been published specifically to be used in climate model simulations (Solmon et al. 2022). Hereafter, we refer to this dataset as MERRA-CORDEX. This dataset has been created from the NASA MERRA-2 reanalysis of 3-hourly aerosol mixing ratio and relative humidity profiles (GMAO 2015). The MERRA-2 reanalysis is based on the GEOS atmospheric model that is heavily assimilating various observational sources to produce a gridded dataset of physical consistency. The MERA-CORDEX dataset is used as the reference aerosol dataset for the EURO-CORDEX evaluation simulations (Katragkou et al., 2024)

The dataset we use, MERRA-CORDEX, contains aerosol extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo and asymmetry parameter for various spectral bands, vertical layers and aerosol species. There are five aerosol species provided: dust, sea salt, black carbon, organic carbon and sulfates. Moreover, it contains surface pressure data as well as layer thickness and air density for each vertical layer. The 72 vertical layers extend from the surface up to the 0.01hPa level while the horizontal resolution is roughly 0.5°x0.625°. It covers the extensive period 1980-2020, sufficient enough to encompass considerable aerosol trends that have been demonstrated to play a significant role in climatic simulations. Aerosol data are provided for 14 spectral bands, ranging from 346nm up to 12195nm. We use data for the visible spectral band centered at 533nm.

### 4 Calculation of cloud droplet number concentration

#### 4.1 Methodology

Our goal is to translate the aerosol optical depth (AOD) to Nt\_c cloud droplet number concentration. There are several empirical relationships between these two variables. Our methodology is based on that of Stevens et al. (2017) where a relationship between anthropogenic AOD at 550nm (mid-visible range) and Nt\_c was derived using the MODIS collection 6 satellite data. Even though there were deviations, they found a systematic relationship between the aerosol optical depth of anthropogenic aerosol, AODf, and Nt\_c: an increase of Nt\_c with an increase of AODf while the increase of Nt\_c is more limited the larger the AODf values become. A limitation of the satellite data is that AOD retrievals are problematic above land and limited mainly above the sea.

To describe this behavior, they used the following logarithmic relationship:

$$Nt_c = a_N ln(b_N(\tau_a + \tau_{bg}) + 1) \tag{1}$$

where  $a_N$ ,  $b_N$ ,  $\tau_{bg}$ , are fitting parameters,  $\tau_a$  is the fine mode (anthropogenic) AODf and  $\tau_{bg}$  is a parameter describing background aerosol. These background aerosol describe the contribution of the formation of CCN (cloud condensation nuclei) from natural processes that exist even in the clearest atmospheric conditions. We have experimented with values ranging between 0.02 and 0.08 as stated in (Stevens et al., 2017) and (Fiedler





et al., 2017). Results of this sensitivity study are seen in the next section. However, the Nc values derived were quite similar. The AOD used in the above relationship is at the visible 550nm range. As mentioned before the MERRA2 dataset has aerosol info in 14 spectral bands from the visible to the infrared. Thus, we chose the band closest to 550nm, that is number 10 that is centered at 535nm (441-625nm).

Since only anthropogenic aerosol are taken into account by the above equation (1) we also chose to isolate the anthropogenic aerosol component from the MERRA-CORDEX dataset. Thus, from the five available species we take into account sulfates, black carbon and organic carbon since these are primarily due to human sources (Bellouin et al., 2005; Ramanathan et al., 2001). The natural aerosol contribution (dust and sea salt) to the Nt\_c is taken indirectly into account by the  $\tau_{bg}$  tunning parameter. We have however experimented using the entire aerosol load and results were similar to the  $\tau_{bg}$  approach.

## 4.2 Calculation of AOD

The MERRA-CORDEX dataset provides extinction coefficients at every vertical level for the five aerosol species mentioned as well as surface pressure, pressure layer thickness and layer air density fields. Since we needed only the anthropogenic aerosol we calculated the overall anthropogenic extinction coefficient by summing the extinction coefficients of organic carbon (OC), black carbon (BC) and sulfates (SU) at every level. The anthropogenic AOD<sub>L</sub> for a specific level is thus calculated:  $AOD_L=(OC+BC+SU)*TH$ 

where TH is the thickness for that specific layer. It is TH=DP/(AIRD\*9.81) where DP is the pressure difference and AIDR the air density in said layer. The overall antropogenic AOD is then calculated as the sum of the  $AOD_L$  of all vertical layers required:

$$AOD = \sum_{L=i}^{j} AOD_L$$

## 4.3 Selection of layers

The MERRA-CORDEX vertical layers extend up to the 0.01hPA level, extremely high in atmosphere surpassing not only the troposphere but also the stratosphere. The toplevel altitude of the dataset above Europe is around 75km. We are interested in the troposphere since that is where the overwhelming majority of aerosol acting as CCN is taking place. At higher altitudes both aerosol concentration as well as clouds are extremely limited to non-existent. Thus, we experimented with selecting only the aerosol data that are up to 20km, an altitude sufficient to encompass the entire troposphere. This usually corresponds to levels 30 to 33 of the MERRA-CORDEX dataset. However, putting an upper limit to 20km does not make a noticeable difference, since aerosol load up to the 20km altitude is almost identical to the AOD calculated for the entire column up to the 0.01hPa level (Figure 1, panels a - b). There is just too little aerosol load in the higher altitudes to make a difference. Therefore, for the Nt\_c calculation we use the aerosol load calculated for the entire column.





Figure 1: Aerosol optical depth over Europe for January 1980. Taking into account: a) only anthropogenic aerosol (left), b) only anthropogenic aerosol up to 20km altitude (middle), c) all aerosol species (right)

## 4.4 Results of cloud droplet number concentration calculation

We used the Stevens (2017) method to calculate the Nt\_c values over Europe for the entire 1980-2020 period, conducting a sensitivity study of equation (1). Using only the anthropogenic aerosol optical depth we have experimented with various background aerosol values ( $\tau_{bg}$ ) starting from zero background but mainly ranging between 0.02 and 0.08 as stated in (Stevens et al., 2017) and (Fiedler et al., 2017). The calculated Nt\_c is larger for a larger  $\tau_{bg}$  used, however results do not vary greatly and are usually between 70 to 90 x10<sup>6</sup> cloud droplets /m<sup>3</sup> over Europe (Figure2, panels a-b-c). We have also experimented with using all the available aerosol species (anthropogenic + dust + sea salt). In that case we selected a zero background aerosol number ( $\tau_{bg}=0$ ) since theoretically the background aerosol contribution is covered by the natural aerosol species considered. Interestingly, this All species +  $\tau_{bg}=0.02$  approach (Figure 2, panels b-d). This is a strong indication that the  $\tau_{bg}=0.02$  background value is a good choice for the European domain. This was also the  $\tau_{bg}$  value chosen in the Stevens et al. (2017) study.

We have further expanded the sensitivity study by also calculating Nt\_c using a different method than equation (1): an empirical relationship used in the ECHAM4 global climate model (Fiedler et al., 2017).

It is: 
$$Nt_c = \exp[5 + 0.3ln(\tau_a + \tau_{bg})]$$
 (2)

where  $\tau_a$  is the again the anthropogenic aerosol AOD and  $\tau_{bg}$  a parameter describing the background aerosol. Interestingly, Nt\_c numbers calculated with equation (2) and using the  $\tau_{bg}$ =0.02 value (Figure 2 -panel e) are comparable to the Stevens method but clearly present a larger spatial variability. The range of values (around 60 to 100 x10<sup>6</sup> cloud droplets /m<sup>3</sup>) is enhanced with the upper limit extending higher and the lower limit extending lower compared to the Stevens method. However, domain averaged Nt\_c values do not vary greatly as we can see in next section 4.4.1.





Figure 2: Cloud droplet number concentration (x10<sup>6</sup>/m<sup>3</sup>) for January 1980 over Europe. Calculated using Stevens 2017 method -relationship (1). Top left (a): Anthropogenic aerosol and no background aerosol (τ<sub>bg</sub>). Top middle (b): Anthropogenic aerosol and τ<sub>bg</sub>=0.02. Top right (c): Anthropogenic aerosol and τ<sub>bg</sub>=0.08. Bottom right (d): All aerosol species and τ<sub>bg</sub>=0. Calculated using ECHAM4 method -relationship (2). Bottom right: Anthropogenic aerosol and τ<sub>bg</sub>=0.02

#### 4.4.1 Domain averaged results

For climatic simulations, extending from some months to several years, the proper selection of the Nt\_c constant needs to be examined over the entire simulated time period. An example of monthly domain averaged Nt\_c values is presented at Table 1 for Europe. Values are given for each month of 1980 as well as for the entire year. The Nt\_c values have been calculated using the Stevens (2017) method (columns 3-6) for anthropogenic aerosol with varying background aerosol numbers (columns 3-5) and for all aerosol species without any background aerosol (column 6). Results are also given based on the method used in ECHAM4 model (last column ).

Table 1: Monthly average cloud droplet concentration (x10<sup>6</sup>/m<sup>3</sup>) over Europe for 1980. Columns 3-5: Stevens method using Anthropogenic aerosol and various background aerosol (Tbg) values. Column 6: Stevens method using All aerosol species and thus no background aerosol. Column 7: Method used in ECHAM4 model. Stevens 2017 ECHAM4 method method Anthropogenic Anthropogenic Anthropogenic All species Anthropogenic month Year τ<sub>bg</sub>=0.02 τ<sub>bg</sub>=0 τ<sub>bg</sub>=0.02 τ<sub>bg</sub>=0.08 τ<sub>bg</sub>=0 1980 01 75,3 78,1 84,3 81,1 81,3 1980 02 76,9 79,6 85,4 81,7 83,6 84,3 88,8 1980 03 82,4 86,6 91,2 04 82,0 84,0 88,6 86,3 90,8 1980 05 86,2 90,2 88,2 94,4 1980 84,6 90,7 1980 06 85,1 86,7 88,3 95,5 07 87,5 91.3 97,0 1980 86,0 88,3 87,2 88,6 92,1 90,0 98,7 1980 08







average		81,4	83,4	88,2	85,7	89,8
Year						
1980	12	75,4	78,1	84,2	82,3	80,9
1980	11	77,1	79,6	85,3	82,3	83,3
1980	10	79,8	81,9	87,0	84,7	87,1
1980	09	84,5	86,2	90,2	87,9	94,5

Overall, the year averaged Nt\_c values calculated are relatively close, ranging from 81.4 to 89.8 x10<sup>6</sup> droplets /m<sup>3</sup>. Differences between the various methods exist but are generally restrained. For the Stevens method, as seen in Figure2 the Nt\_c values between the Anthropogenic only+ $\tau_{bg}$ =0.02 approach and the use of All species+  $\tau_{bg}$  approach are quite close. Interannual variations do exist but are not considerably high. For example, in the Anthropogenic only+ $\tau_{bg}$ =0.02 approach the interannual range is between 78.1 and 88.6 x10<sup>6</sup>/m<sup>3</sup>. Interestingly, the ECHAM4 method presents a more pronounced interannual variability (80.9 to 98.7) than the Stevens method.

Climatic simulations usually extend for multiple year periods. Thus, a similar analysis is performed for four different 5-year periods: 1980-1984,1990-1994, 2000-2004, 2010-2014 and 2016-2020. Results for the various approaches using the Stevens method do not vary greatly for the same five-year period (differences less than 8). All methods however, clearly present a declining trend of Nt\_c through time. This decline is especially pronounced during the 1980s and 90s and coincides with the drastic reduction of AOD over Europe during that time period (Nabat et al., 2014). Interestingly, the Nt\_c declining trend is stronger in the ECHAM4 method.

To sum up, we have seen that the Nt\_c values calculated using the various approaches, for monthly, yearly or multiyear averages, do present some small differences. However, what is important to state is that these Nt\_c values are in most cases considerably smaller than the default setting of the Thompson scheme, the 100  $\times 10^6$  cloud droplets /m<sup>3</sup> constant value. This difference is even more pronounced for more recent time periods due to the declining Nt\_c trend over time. Therefore, if we intend to simulate a five-year period in the 1980s choosing a calculated Nt\_c for the Thompson scheme by one of the examined approaches, would result in a 10 to 15% reduction in cloud droplet number concentration compared to the default setting of the Thompson scheme. For a more recent time period (e.g. 2016-2020) the difference would be even higher, around 20 to 30%. Cearly, these are considerable differences that need to be taken into account by modifying the Nt\_c constant in the Thompson scheme, if we intend to have a more realistic description of aerosol-cloud interactions in the WRF model.

Table 2: Cloud droplet concentration (x10 <sup>6</sup> /m <sup>3</sup> ) over Europe averaged for different five-year periods.
Columns 3-5: Stevens method using Anthropogenic aerosol and various background aerosol ( $ au_{bq}$ ) values
Column 6: Stevens method using All aerosol species and thus no background aerosol. Column 7:
Method used in ECHAM4 model

	Stevens 2017 method				ECHAM4 method
Period	Anthropogenic τ <sub>bg</sub> =0	Anthropogenic τ <sub>bg</sub> =0.02	Anthropogenic τ <sub>bg</sub> =0.08	All species τ <sub>bg</sub> =0	Anthropogenic $\tau_{bg}$ =0.02
1980-1984	83,74	85,49	89,73	87,61	93,29
1990-1994	81,93	83,94	88,62	86,23	90,79







2000-2004	71,36	74,99	82,32	79,27	76,56
2010-2014	71,41	74,97	82,25	78,54	76,42
2016-2020	70,51	74,28	81,83	78,10	75,45

## 5 Summary and conclusion

In this study we have examined various methods of calculating Nt\_c, the cloud droplet number concentration, from AOD data over Europe. We have used a state-of-the-art aerosol dataset constructed specifically to be implemented in climate model simulations. The various methods produced in general similar Nt\_c results. However, the calculated Nt\_c number concentration results were all considerably smaller than the default constant value used in the Thompson microphysics scheme. This signifies the importance of making changes to this constant to better describe the aerosol-cloud interactions. We prepared a code written in IDL language which can process aerosol information from the MERRA-CORDEX dataset. It can be used to calculate the proper Nt\_c value to be replaced in the Thompson scheme, for any given period within the1980-2020 frame. Either for the entire Europe or any sub-domain that we intend to simulate. For the intended climatic simulations described in Task 2.2 we intend to use the Stevens method with the anthropogenic aerosol +  $\tau_{bg}$ =0.02 approach since it produces results close to the ensemble average of all the approaches used.

### 6 Code example

Below we present part of the code writer in IDL that calculates the cloud droplet concentration based on the MERRA-CORDEX dataset, using the Stevens (2017) approach.

#### PRO Anthro\_AOD\_files

;program to open original MERRA aerosol files ; and calculate anthropogenic only AOD dir = '/mnt/meteo\_f/ERA5\_forcing/MERRA\_Aerosol/Anthropogenic\_only/' dirin = '/mnt/meteo\_f/ERA5\_forcing/MERRA\_Aerosol/Original\_files/' dirout='/mnt/meteo\_f/ERA5\_forcing/MERRA\_Aerosol/Anthropogenic\_only/Anthro\_ AOD/'

year='1980'
year=String(yr)
year=STRTRIM(year,2) ;trim blank spaces at string!!

;Main month loop For mm=0,11 do begin ;month loop

mon=['01','02','03','04','05','06','07','08','09','10','11','12'] daystring=['01','02','03','04','05','06','07','08','09','10','11','12','13','14','15','16','17','18','19







','20','21','22','23','24','25','26','27','28','29','30','31'] ;days=[31,28,31,30,31,30,31,31,30,31,30,31]

days=[**31**,**29**,**31**,**30**,**31**,**30**,**31**,**30**,**31**,**30**,**31**] ;1980 IS A LEAP YEAR!!! print,"YEAR",year," ","Month",mon(mm)

;##### Read in AOD file ##### ;Opening MERRA data elcid = NCDF\_OPEN(dirin+"MERRA2\_OPPMONTH\_wb10."+year+mon(mm)+".nc") ; open input print, "Open file" NCDF\_VARGET, elcid, "lon", lon NCDF\_VARGET, elcid, "lat", lat ; actual lat?

**NCDF\_VARGET**, elcid, "DELP", DP ; pressure difference layer **NCDF\_VARGET**, elcid, "AIRDENS", AIRD ;air density

NCDF\_VARGET, elcid, "EXTBC", EXTBC ; extinction coefficient black carbon NCDF\_VARGET, elcid, "EXTOC", EXTOC ; ... organic carbon NCDF\_VARGET, elcid, "EXTSU", EXTSU ; ... sulphates

NCDF\_VARGET, elcid, "EXTSS", EXTSS ; ... sea salt NCDF\_VARGET, elcid, "EXTDU", EXTDU ; ... dust

NCDF\_VARGET, elcid, "AOD", aod ;total aod ;NCDF\_VARGET, elcid, "time", time NCDF\_CLOSE, elcid

**help**, aod, lon, lat,dp,aird,extbc **print**, "A"

nx = n\_elements(lon(\*)) ;sthlon ny = n\_elements(lat(\*)) ; arithmos gramon nz = n\_elements(DP(0,0,\*)) ; levels print,nx,ny,nz print,max(DP),min(DP) print,max(AIRD),min(AIRD) print,max(EXTBC),min(EXTBC) print,max(EXTOC),min(EXTOC) print,max(EXTSU),min(EXTSU)

lev\_thick=Dblarr(nx,ny,nz)
altitude=Dblarr(nx,ny)
level20=Dblarr(nx,ny)
AOD\_anthro=Dblarr(nx,ny,nz)
AOD\_level=Dblarr(nx,ny,nz)
AOD\_total=Dblarr(nx,ny)







AOD\_anthro\_total=**Dblarr**(nx,ny) AOD\_anthro\_total\_20km=**Dblarr**(nx,ny) Nt\_c=**Dblarr**(nx,ny)

Nt\_cBG1=**Dblarr**(nx,ny) Nt\_cBG2=**Dblarr**(nx,ny) Nt\_cALL=**Dblarr**(nx,ny)

Nt\_cECHAM4=**Dblarr**(nx,ny) For i=0,nx-1 do begin For j=0,ny-1 do begin For k=0,nz-1 do begin

;Calculate for every grid point and level the AOD lev\_thick(i,j,k)=DP(i,j,k)/( AIRD(i,j,k)\*9.81 ) altitude(i,j)=total( lev\_thick(i,j,\*) )

OCsum=EXTOC(i,j,k)\*lev\_thick(i,j,k) BCsum=EXTBC(i,j,k)\*lev\_thick(i,j,k) SUsum=EXTSU(i,j,k)\*lev\_thick(i,j,k)

AOD\_anthro(i,j,k)=OCsum+BCsum+SUsum

SSsum=EXTSS(i,j,k)\*lev\_thick(i,j,k) DUsum=EXTDU(i,j,k)\*lev\_thick(i,j,k)

 $AOD\_level(i,j,k) = OCsum + BCsum + SUsum + DUsum + SSsum$ 

### Endfor

```
;Calculate total AOD from all levels
AOD_total(i,j)=total(AOD_level(i,j,*))
AOD_anthro_total(i,j)=total(AOD_anthro(i,j,*))
```

;calculate levels up to 20km hal=0 ;level index alt20=0.0 while alt20 LT 20000 do begin

alt20=alt20+lev\_thick(i,j,nz-1-hal) ;starting from ground (nz) nad going upwards ,putting nz-1 since idl starts from 0 in arrays hal=hal+1

#### endwhile

;-----

 $\label{eq:local_$ 



**print**,"strat limit",alt20," anthrototal",AOD\_anthro\_total(i,j)," anthro up 20km",AOD\_anthro\_total\_20km(i,j)

;calculate cloud droplet density - Stevens 2017 Nt\_c(i,j)=16\*ALOG( 1000\*AOD\_anthro\_total(i,j)+1 ) Nt\_cBG1(i,j)=16\*ALOG( 1000\*( AOD\_anthro\_total(i,j)+0.02 )+1 ) ;tbg=0.02 Nt\_cBG2(i,j)=16\*ALOG( 1000\*( AOD\_anthro\_total(i,j)+0.08 )+1 ) ;tbg=0.08 Nt\_cALL(i,j)=16\*ALOG( 1000\*( AOD\_total(i,j ) )+1 ) ;tbg=0 since it is covered by the inclusion of all aerosol ;Calculate cloud droplet density - ECHAM4 approach Nt\_cECHAM4(i,j)=exp( 5.0+0.3\*ALOG( AOD\_anthro\_total(i,j)+0.02 ) ) ;ECHAM4 formula with tbg=0.02 Endfor Endfor

## 7 References

- Bellouin, N., Boucher, O., Haywood, J., & Reddy, M. S. (2005). Global estimate of aerosol direct radiative forcing from satellite measurements. *Nature*, 438(7071), 1138–1141. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04348
- Boé, J., Somot, S., Corre, L., & Nabat, P. (2020). Large discrepancies in summer climate change over Europe as projected by global and regional climate models: causes and consequences. *Climate Dynamics*, 54(5–6), 2981–3002. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05153-1
- Fiedler, S., Stevens, B., & Mauritsen, T. (2017). On the sensitivity of anthropogenic aerosol forcing to model-internal variability and parameterizing a Twomey effect. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 9(2), 1325–1341. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS000932

Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO) (2015), MERRA-2 inst3\_3d\_aer\_Nv:



3d,3-Hourly,Instantaneous,Model-Level,Assimilation,Aerosol Mixing Ratio V5.12.4, Greenbelt, MD, USA, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), Accessed: [26/09/2024], 10.5067/LTVB4GPCOTK2. Relevant web page : <u>https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2/</u>

- Katragkou, E., Sobolowski, S. P., Teichmann, C., Solmon, F., Pavlidis, V., Rechid, D., Hoffmann, P., Fernandez, J., Nikulin, G., & Jacob, D. (2024). Delivering an improved framework for the new generation of CMIP6-driven EURO-CORDEX regional climate simulations. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. https://doi.org/10.1175/bams-d-23-0131.1
- Nabat, P., Somot, S., Mallet, M., Sanchez-Lorenzo, A., & Wild, M. (2014). Contribution of anthropogenic sulfate aerosols to the changing Euro-Mediterranean climate since 1980. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(15), 5605–5611. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060798
- Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., & Rosenfeld, D. (2001). Atmosphere: Aerosols, climate, and the hydrological cycle. *Science*, *294*(5549), 2119–2124. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064034
- Ruiz-Arias, J. A., Dudhia, J., & Gueymard, C. A. (2014). A simple parameterization of the short-wave aerosol optical properties for surface direct and diffuse irradiances assessment in a numerical weather model. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 7(3), 1159–1174. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-1159-2014
- Stevens, B., Fiedler, S., Kinne, S., Peters, K., Rast, S., Müsse, J., Smith, S. J., & Mauritsen, T. (2017). MACv2-SP: a parameterization of anthropogenic aerosol optical properties and an associated Twomey effect for use in CMIP6. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 10(1), 433–452. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-433-2017
- Thompson, G., Field, P. R., Rasmussen, R. M., & Hall, W. D. (20 reduction in cloud droplet concentration compared to the default setting of the Thompson scheme 08).
  Explicit Forecasts of Winter Precipitation Using an Improved Bulk Microphysics Scheme. Part II: Implementation of a New Snow Parameterization. *Monthly Weather Review*, 136(12), 5095–5115. https://doi.org/10.1175/2008MWR2387.1